



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/987,849

11/16/2001

Jarkko Viinikanoja

1123.40878X00

7575

22907

7590

10/23/2006

BANNER & WITCOFF

1001 G STREET N W

SUITE 1100

WASHINGTON, DC 20001

EXAMINER

YE, LIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

09/987,849

EXAMINER
----------

Lin Ye

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2622

20061017

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The interview summary is attached with this Office communication

Ye Lin  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 2622

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/987,849	VIINIKANOJA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ye Lin	2622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ye Lin. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Shawn P. Gorman. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 17 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed: Umezawa et al. U.S. Patent 5,491,507.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

#### Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,  
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed claim has been discussed. The proposed claim indicates the camera system is within the same "unitary" housing along with at least one telecommunications component. This is different than the camera system disclosed in the Umezawa reference. The examiner agrees. The examiner will wait the applicant to file a formal amendment based on the proposed claim discussed. The formal amendment would require further consideration and update search.



BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

10 SOUTH WACKER, SUITE 3000  
CHICAGO, IL 60606

TEL: 312.463.5000  
FAX: 312.463.5001  
www.bannerwitcoff.com

---

**FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET**

---

**TO:**

Examiner Ye

**FROM:**

Shawn P. Gorman

**COMPANY:**

USPTO

**DATE:**

October 4, 2006

**FAX NO.:**

571-273-7372

**TOTAL NO. OF PAGES:** (including cover sheet)

2

**YOUR REFERENCE NO.:**

09/987,849

**OUR REFERENCE (C/M) NO.:**

006916.00010

**Proposed Claim**

*If you do not receive all page(s) or have any problems receiving this transmission, please call:*

**NAME:**

Marcie Mortimer

**PHONE:**

312-463-5531

Examiner Ye,

Thank you again for spending the time conducting the interview this afternoon. Per our conversation, I have attached a proposed claim that more clearly indicates the camera system is within the same "unitary" housing along with at least one telecommunications component. I believe this to be much different than the camera system disclosed in Umezawa which shows the camera (and its illustrated housing) being mounted on the outer surface of the telephone's body.

The handy type video telephone equipment generally indicated by numeral 1 is mainly constructed of the body 2 thereof which is thin and flat and which is in a vertically long shape, a camera 3 which is turnably mounted on the right side surface of the body 2.

(Col. 5, lines 31-36, emphasis added). I have also removed the limitation regarding the detachable part of the housing and may instead place that into a dependent claim.

Please feel free to call me at any time to discuss this proposed amendment. As we discussed earlier, I am open to any suggestions you may have. Again, I appreciate the time you spent discussing the points brought up during the Pre-Appeal Process.

Sincerely,

Shawn Gorman

**Important/Confidential:** This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. This message contains information from the law firm of Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. which may be privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, retention, archiving, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately at our telephone number listed above. We will be happy to arrange for the return of this message to our offices at no cost to you.

CHICAGO

WASHINGTON, D.C.

BOSTON

PORTLAND, OR

A mobile terminal device comprising;

~~a camera system comprising a lens module, which enables taking pictures with optical imaging properties given by the lens module;~~

~~a part of a unitary housing of the mobile terminal device comprising at least one telecommunications component and a camera system comprising a lens module which enables taking pictures with optical imaging properties given by the lens module, wherein the part of the housing is detachable from the mobile terminal device;~~

and

a means for changing optical properties of the lens module, the means being adapted to cooperate with the lens module of the camera system to enable taking pictures with changed optical imaging properties, wherein the a part of the unitary housing comprises the means for changing optical properties.